


FOR RACE AND NATION



Immigration

MILLIONS MORE ON THE WAY?

THE TORIES came to power in 1979 on the basis of promises to the electorate that there would be "no more large-scale immigration" into this country. For years, they have been breaking this pledge, the latest example of this being the decision to grant right of settlement to up to a quarter of a million Hong Kong Chinese.

Now a new menace looms over the horizon, beside which the coming Hong Kong influx will seem like a trickle.

According to a report recently released by the Joseph Rowntree Foundation, a body which governments are in the habit of listening to with a great deal of attention and respect, Britain may need to invite a new wave of immigrants within a few years to make up for a shortage of workers.

The report stressed that, due to the extremely low birthrate among the indigenous white population of this country (paralleled almost everywhere else in Europe also), we are going to suffer a chronic lack of young people to replace those advancing to retirement age. The chief danger, says the report, is going to come early in the next century. The report's author, John Ermisch, said: By the early 21st century, workforce recruit numbers could be only a little above the historically low rate experienced during the 1950s, when the resulting tight labour market encouraged high immigration, particularly from the Caribbean."

The immigrants of the future, says the report, are likely to come from Turkey and the fast-growing populations of North African countries such as Algeria, Egypt, Morocco, Sudan and Tunisia — all on the fringes of the European Community.

In a summary of the report published in the *Daily Mail* on July 25th, a sinister note was sounded. According to the *Mail*: "EC countries might be unwilling to relax immigration restrictions, the report said, but 'after 1992 individual member countries of the EC will have a smaller role in setting immigration policies. There will need to be a general EC agreement about how responsive immigration should be to labour conditions.'"

Stripped of all the cackle, this means one thing: should Britain, by the time this situation arises, have a government patriotic enough, and sufficiently concerned for the national interest, to put up the barriers against further immigration (and neither a Conservative nor a Labour Government would be that), this country will be overruled by the European Community, which by then will have taken to itself most of the remaining sovereign powers left to Britain and other EC members. The EC will order us to take the immigrants, whether we like it or not!

PROBABLE GOVERNMENT POLICY

Of course, it might be said that all this represents only the findings and predictions of a report compiled by an independent organisation, and



CIVIL STRIFE

The multi-racial society, imposed by the politicians on the British people, has been the cause of recurring civil strife and disorder, as exemplified by this scene from the race riots in Brixton in 1985. If Britain is to become again a land of peace and harmony, the multi-racial experiment must be ended.



THE UNENDING FLOOD

Since the 1950s, British Governments have always found excuses to perpetuate non-white immigration into this country. Now our rulers are likely to attempt to solve problems of labour shortage by importing millions more from Turkey and North Africa. When is it going to stop?

that it has not been adopted as Government policy. Past experience, however, shows that when bodies like the Joseph Rowntree Foundation come up with ideas of this kind, it is one the cards that they will be incorporated into Government policy in the not-too-distant future.

So, those people who think that after the coming Hong Kong influx we will have seen the last of large-scale immigration will be in for a shock. Well might it be said to them: "You ain't seen nothing yet!" After we have accommodated the Hong Kongers, with all the upheaval and further overcrowding that this will create, we will have to get ready to absorb yet more countless millions — mainly, it seems, from Turkey and North Africa.

We say that the suggestion that Britain must take all these millions

of new migrants, because of a presently low birthrate, is absolute rubbish.

In the first place, the economy is becoming more and more mechanised, with correspondingly less demand for ordinary labour of the kind that we have needed in the past. Everywhere, in factories, in offices and even on the farms, machines are doing the work that it previously took large numbers of people to do. Far from there being a likely labour shortage, it is far more probable that our problem is going to be to find jobs for the people who need them.

And all this apart, in so far as we will need labour why on earth can we not provide it ourselves by having more children of our own and raising the birthrate? What are needed are more incentives to women to

Contd. on page 2

Excellent BNP result in East End

THE BEST election result gained by a nationalist candidate over the last 12 years was achieved by Steve Smith of the British National Party in East London on the 19th July. In a five-cornered fight, Steve came third and won 8.4 per-cent of the vote in the Park Ward by-election in Bow, part of what is now known as the 'racist' borough of Tower Hamlets.

The result was as follows:-

Liberal	779
Labour	598
BNP	130
Green	18
Conservative	17

This result confirms that the British National Party is succeeding in breaking out of the straightjacket of poor votes received by nationalist parties throughout most of the 1980s. Occasion-

ally, over that time, better results have been achieved, but never in a contest with all the major parties standing. In fact this result is a surer indication of our support than the 9.7 per-cent result obtained also by Steve Smith in the nearby Holy Trinity Ward in May, when



STEVE SMITH
Won 8.4 per-cent of the poll and thrashed Tory and Green candidates

there were three vacancies for each ward and some people, believe it or not, gave two votes to the Liberals and one to us! A better indicator of how impressive this result was can be seen by a comparison with the election figures for 1978, when the old National Front contested the ward at a time when there was considerable support for that party in both the East End and Britain as a whole. In 1978 the NF gained 128 votes in a higher poll in Park Ward and thereby won 7.6 per-cent of that poll — with no Conservative or Green standing. The BNP's latest result is the first instance since 1978 that a nationalist candidate has succeeded in bettering both the aggregate vote and the percentage achieved in any area since that 'bench-mark' year. Clearly, the British National Party is beginning to

A report by EDDY BUTLER

succeed in making nationalism popular again.

THE CAMPAIGN

The by-election in Park Ward of Tower Hamlets was caused by the death of the sitting Liberal councillor soon after the May borough council elections. The Liberals, who control Tower Hamlets, declared that out of respect for the deceased councillor the election would not be called straightaway. When after several weeks had elapsed and nothing had happened we arranged for two of our supporters in the ward to write to the Town Hall and call the election themselves. It later transpired that the real reason for the Liberals

Contd. on page 7

British Nationalist

No. 103 AUG/SEPT 1990
Editor: John Morse

THE ECONOMY: TIME TO PUT WORK FIRST

AMAZINGLY, Britain's June deficit of £1.36 billion on her international trade was greeted in City and official circles almost as a matter for satisfaction. Apparently, the fact that the trade gap was only £27 million higher than the month before is supposed to be a cause for cheering!

The slowing in the growth of this country's debt to the rest of the world that this is said to mark was due to oil exports, along with those of 'erratic' items like aero-engines and antiques, which had taken a sudden spurt as against imports. But in reality none of this means any lasting change in Britain's economic condition. Oil, just for a start, is past its peak and can certainly not be relied on for ever.

The plain cause of Britain's ongoing trade debt to foreigners remains what this paper has always said it is. Our industrial base has been largely smashed. As a result, we now rely on foreign sources for supply of a huge portion of the manufactured good we require. A 'Guardian' report we quoted last month even went so far as to say that so run-down is the nation's industrial machine that it is no longer large enough, or possessed of the 'critical mass' necessary, to take back the markets that have been lost to foreign competitors, whether abroad or here at home.

And it is a plain fact that none of this is an accident. The Thatcher Government has acted quite openly to bring about the kind of conditions we now see. The invasion of Jap goods at the time the squeeze was put on British industry in the early 1980s was deliberately allowed.

The reason?

Short-term fast bucks for the spivs and yuppies of the City — the Tories' friends — were put before the long-term development of the nation. Investing in Britain's competitors, and allowing them to drive our own industries to the wall, was simply more profitable than investing British funds in Britain.

This is not to say that the radical medicine needed to set things right can come from Neil Kinnock, the Labour Party or the left — for they are as much the bought hirelings of Britain's ruling moneyed establishment as are the Tories.

This moneyed establishment has foisted the destructive 'free market' philosophy on the nation's economy that has brought us to our present pass. And no politician with allegiance to the present system is going to buck the trend, whatever his party stripe.

Nevertheless, no government has spelt out the economic thinking of the moneyed establishment more clearly than the present one, with its blind commitment to unlimited capitalism.

But in fact there is more to production than just capital. Rightly regarded, capital is only one of production's necessary tools. Others are materials, labour of all sorts, management and education. All these things have been neglected woefully under Mrs. Thatcher.

The restoration of Britain's economic health will need revolutionary thinking. Work will have to regain its place of honour as the true source of the nation's wealth. And capital must be put back in its proper place as the handmaiden of industrial production.

BNP: party of the future

THE RESULT of the recent by-election in Park Ward of Tower Hamlets, where the British National Party candidate Steve Smith obtained 8.4 per-cent of the total poll and soundly thrashed both the Greens and the Tories, provided further confirmation of what has become increasingly obvious during the past year or so: that the BNP has emerged without any doubt as Britain's leading nationalist party and indeed the one party with the potential to make nationalism a force in this country and eventually bring it to power.

The same truth was borne out in the local government elections in May, in which the BNP, also in the person of Steve Smith, won 9.7 per-cent of the poll in the Holy Trinity Ward of Tower Hamlets and Steve Tyler, standing for the BNP in the Rotherhithe Ward of Bermondsey, won 7 per-cent of the total.

These results indicate that the BNP is very far from being a mass movement. Seen in perspective beside what has to be done to get the party knocking on the gates of power, they are indeed modest. What they do represent, however, is a vast improvement on the abysmal results achieved by nationalist candidates in most of the elections they have fought throughout the 1980s. They also are results decidedly superior to those achieved by other nationalist parties and candidates today.

Performances in these elections parallel attendances achieved at major party events. At the BNP annual rally in London last October fractionally under 300 were present. Since about 80 per-cent of these were party members, this indicates a vastly greater capacity to mobilise people for rallies than is possessed by any other current nationalist organisation. Again, 300 is not a great number for a political rally; it is only when the number is compared with those mustered by rival groups in the nationalist field that the greater strength of the BNP can be appreciated.

That the BNP has undoubtedly taken the lead in British Nationalism is now acknowledged by the most bitter opponents of the movement. *Searchlight* magazine, in its issue for June 1990, devoted a two-page article to the BNP entitled 'BNP: the heart of darkness' in which it said:-

"For the first time in the recent history of the far right — its history since the devastating series of splits which tore the National Front apart ten years ago — British National Party leader John Tyndall finds himself in a position where he is genuinely challenging what is left of the National Front for the title of largest and most influential party on the extreme right. It has been a long and uphill battle in which, at times, it looked as if the BNP was doomed to extinction. But exploiting the continuing debilitation of the various claimants to the NF mantle, and the modest political opportunities that have come his way, Tyndall has achieved what five years ago few would have thought possible."

In this particular paragraph *Searchlight* is clearly understating the position. The BNP is not just "challenging what is left of the National Front for the title of largest and most influential party..." it has made that challenge and won, as was frankly, albeit begrudgingly, acknowledged by BNP defector and *Searchlight* collaborator Ray Hill in the *Scottish Eye* programme featuring the BNP and shown nationwide in March of this year. "John Tyndall has won," said Hill, referring to the long and drawn-out contest between the BNP and National Front for the leadership of British

Nationalism. Since neither Hill nor *Searchlight* are likely to stand accused of grinding any axes for the BNP, their conclusions are illuminating, to say the least!

For the general tenor of the *Search-*

WE HAVE WON THE BATTLE OF THE EIGHTIES, WHICH WAS FOR THE LEADERSHIP OF THE NATIONALIST MOVEMENT. NOW LET EVERYONE JOIN IN AND HELP US WIN THE BATTLE OF THE NINETIES, WHICH IS FOR THE FUTURE OF BRITAIN

light article is such as to constitute a profound 'eating of words', for it has been that magazine which over the years has so often written obituaries to our party that we have lost count of the occasions!

VINDICATION OF B.N.P. PRINCIPLES

The victory of the BNP in the contest for the leadership of British Nationalism provides strong vindication of its position in the factional disputes that have divided the movement over the

possible to say that the principle of individual leadership and decision-making has proved itself to be by far the most effective, not only in respect of the soundness of the party's decision-making during that time but also in

terms of the internal cohesion and unity achieved. The BNP has suffered no split of any kind since its formation in 1982, just as its predecessor, the New National Front, suffered no split in the two years before that. By contrast, the National Front has been fragmented by repeated splits over the same period.

THE WAY FORWARD FOR NATIONALISTS

After the regrettable schism in the nationalist movement in 1980, a great many nationalists in Britain preferred to



GREAT RALLY
The spectacular BNP London meeting last October

past decade. Principally, these disputes have rested on differing attitudes to nationalist policy and conflicting theories of party leadership. While other factions in the nationalist movement, as a reaction against frustrations at the polls, have sought to make the image of their parties more appealing by chopping and changing policies, and in the process departing substantially from the programme on which the movement fought in the 1970s, the BNP has maintained that that programme was basically correct, and that if it failed to win more votes at the 1979 election this was because of factors entirely separate from that issue. What was needed was not a changing of the programme but a determination to fight on until the political climate changed and the need for the programme was much more widely recognised. There is much evidence that this is now happening.

In the dispute over methods of leadership the BNP has advocated, and practised, a system in which leadership is vested in one individual with full executive powers — as opposed to the collective method favoured by the factions of the National Front, with its preference for committees and head-counting. After ten years of trial, it is

put off a firm decision as to where they stood in the divide. Wishing that the split had never taken place, many of them deluded themselves that it would be resolved simply by their doing nothing and hoping for the leading antagonists to 'bury the hatchet'. This was a mistaken reaction but nevertheless an understandable one.

Countless thousands dropped out of involvement in the struggle altogether, not because they had changed their opinions but because they were demoralised by the divisions and in consequence saw no hope for the revival of the movement. Some among these took the attitude of retiring from the fight in order to let the factions battle it out and then return when that battle had been resolved by one side emerging the clear victor.

A number of others whom we know remained with the National Front on the basis of the old rule: "If in doubt as to which way to move, stay where you are." This, and a very commendable loyalty to the original party of their allegiance, made them reluctant to change.

Recent events, however, have rendered all these attitudes no longer valid or practical.

These events have confirmed that, if

any organisation is going to put British Nationalism back on the political map and make it a real force in this country in the 1990s, it is the British National Party. The election result in Tower Hamlets in July was just the latest of these pointers, but it was a very significant pointer.

WHAT WE NOW NEED TO DO

Though we are all greatly pleased with the progress of the BNP in recent times, we are only too well aware of how much still has to be done to build the movement back to the strength that it attained in the 1970s, let alone to progress further from that strength.

Our organisation is suffering a debilitating limitation of resources. Too much organisational and promotional work falls on too few shoulders. Money is always in short supply. Full-time staff needs to be expanded. Many more skills need to be placed at our service.

Supporters often write in to us asking why we have not done this or that, imagining that these things have not been done because no-one has thought of doing them; in reality, they have been thought about many times over but have not been tackled so far because there has not been either the time or the resources to carry them out effectively.

If the many people of nationalist convictions not presently in the BNP threw their weight behind the BNP, the scope for our activities would be increased enormously. These people have for years immobilised themselves by staying on the sidelines in a non-aligned capacity, or they have been wasting their time working actively for organisations that are going nowhere under leaders who have proved incapable of taking them anywhere.

We think that this is a good time to make an appeal, again, to these people to join the BNP and help strengthen the forces dedicated to our struggle. We have proved that we are here to stay — we have not given up over all the years of hardship and setback that were the 1980s. We have proved that we can build an organisation immune to internal splits. And now we are beginning to prove that we can win the support of the British public at the place where it matters: the polls.

This is a make-or-break decade for the nationalist movement in Britain. We must become a real political force by the dawning of the next century — otherwise this nation is going to have no future in that century.

The BNP can become that force. No-one else can. **So get with us — and let's get moving!**

MILLIONS MORE ON THE WAY

(Contd. from front page)

concentrate on motherhood and raise larger families. This is going to be a necessity for many reasons quite apart from those connected with the economy. If the white race is going to allow itself to be outbred by the coloured races, the Whites are eventually going to lose possession of their countries and be eliminated from this planet.

What is needed now is a total ban on further non-white immigration into Britain and a large programme of repatriation of those immigrants already here.

Along with this, we need, as stated, a new scheme of incentives to native-born British families to have more children so that, to whatever extent we need labour in the 21st century, we will be able to provide it from our own human resources.

Finally, we need to get Britain out of the European Community and restore to British Government full control over British affairs, so that no European super-government will be able to dictate to us what our immigration policy shall be.

Ian Gow: latest victim of appeasement


ON THE FRONT PAGE of the *Daily Mail* the day after the IRA murder of MP Ian Gow there were displayed the headlines 'But still they will not win'. This, presumably, was intended to represent the attitude of the Government, as well as the Tory press, towards the IRA gangsters.

But in fact the gangsters are winning — bit by bit. The Anglo-Irish Agreement, which Mr. Gow, to his credit, opposed, was a victory for them, for it represented just one more concession to Irish Republicanism and one more nail in the

coffin of British Ulster. With every gesture the Government makes which indicates an acknowledgement that Ulster is not Britain's exclusive business, the IRA is encouraged by the thought that its goal of a united Ireland is a step nearer, which gives it the will to carry on killing.

Had the Government not made these concessions, Mr. Gow might still be alive today.

Gow: He might still be alive if Government had refused to compromise with republicanism



Q. Are you Nazis?

A. Nazis were Germans who staged a revolution in their own country beginning in 1933 and ending with Germany's defeat at the end of World War II. We are Britons who are seeking to build a movement that will regenerate our country in the late 20th and early 21st centuries. Our movement is essentially national and British, which means that we intend to bring about the changes that are needed in our land in our own way, by our own methods and in a manner that respects our own national traditions. It is not our purpose to copy foreigners.

Of course, there are some areas in which our problems will be found to be similar to, and our solutions to have some things in common with, patriotic reforming movements in other countries and at other times; and we should certainly not shirk from adopting policies we know to be right for Britain merely because some now universally unpopular regime or leader has carried out similar policies in another country at another time. Mrs. Thatcher, for instance, has recently called for a crusade to strengthen the institution of the family in Britain. Well, Hitler also sought to strengthen the family in the Germany of his day. Should Mrs. Thatcher abandon such a position merely because Hitler and the Nazis also adopted it?

The fact is that today the term 'Nazi' has come to mean almost anything that our modern propagandists want it to mean, and it is thrown at everyone who favours policies that are opposed by the leftists and 'liberals' who dominate most of the communications media. They called Enoch Powell a 'Nazi' for opposing the immigrant invasion of this country. They have even called Mrs. Mary Whitehouse a 'Nazi' for wanting to clean up television!



BROADCASTING HOUSE
Like other establishments of the mass media, it is the source of endless lies and fabrications about the BNP and other patriotic groups. To find out about us, people should never rely on the mass media for information.

Let us not be frightened of these epithets that today's mind-controllers slap upon us; let us carry on fighting for the things we know to be right for this country. We are engaged in a war of ideas; let it not degenerate into a war of mere catchwords and slogans.

Q. You have been accused of seeking to destroy our democratic institutions and set up a totalitarian dictatorship. Is this true?

A. This is puerile nonsense. In fact, what most people today believe to be the institutions of 'democracy' are nothing of the sort. Right now, we have in this country a system of totalitarian mind-control. This operates in the schoolroom, in the universities and colleges of high education, in the press, on TV and radio, through publishing and through the cinema. Under this system, opinions in favour of nationalism, patriotism and white racial consciousness and pride are rigorously suppressed.

We have a political system under which the people are deluded into believing that they exercise a 'choice' at election times between different political parties but in which, in reality, all the parties serve the same masters and are committed to the same policies on all but the minor issues. When the politicians get elected to parliament, they feel bound in no way to vote in accordance with the wishes of the majority of electors. If they did, they would long ago have voted to stop immigration and to bring back capital punishment, just to give two examples.

The British National Party THE TRUE FACTS

Anyone seeking information on the nature and aims of the British National Party will obtain no enlightenment whatsoever on such matters from a reading of the newspapers or from reports on radio or television. These organs of mass communication are all, without exception, mobilised to present a false picture of the BNP and any other groups of people in this country working to protect our national identity, freedom and heritage. People will be able to find out the truth about us only by direct contact with us and a perusal of our own publications. In this feature this month we put the spotlight on a number of questions often asked about our movement that are based on misconceptions obtained from the mass media, and to each we give our own answer. If you want to find out what sort of people we are, read what we have to say here — don't rely on second-hand stories about us put out by our opponents.

In addition to this, we do not even have free speech or freedom of assembly in this country. Our own party and similar organisations are banned from hiring meeting halls in almost every locality in Britain.

The truth is that the system popularly known as 'democracy' is a sham, a racket under which political freedom exists in name only and true dissenters are suppressed as thoroughly as they are in a Soviet-style state.

We do not seek to suppress people's freedom to express their opinions; on the contrary, we wish to extend it. Nor do we wish to take away a people's right to choose their own government. We simply believe that there are better ways to establish these freedoms and rights than under the present system.

First, we favour the election of a Prime Minister by the vote of the people. That Prime Minister should serve for an indefinite period and should possess strong executive powers, so as to be able to carry out government effectively without having constantly to bend this way or that way to sectional interests.

Parliament should be elected on an occupational franchise, so that the voter will be able to base his or her choice on real knowledge of the issues, rather than ignorance, as is the case now. Car workers will vote as car workers and for a representative of car workers. Doctors will vote likewise, as also will coal miners, and so on. With each voter having a knowledge of his or her trade or profession, there will not be the same reliance on the mass media for information, which under present conditions is always biased and often false. Parliament would have the power to force the Prime Minister to stand for re-election in exceptional circumstances where the latter had clearly lost the confidence of the vast majority of parliamentary members or of the country as a whole. This would be different from the present pantomime of elections every few years in which politicians and their parties compete with each other in lying to and bribing the electorate. In any new elections to the premiership, all of the mass media would be obliged by law to give equal time and coverage to all candidates — thus ensuring a much freer national debate and one in which money did not buy votes.

At the same time we would legislate to ensure that all the organs of the mass media allowed the facilities for free expression of all political viewpoints — which does not exist now.

Likewise, meeting halls owned by local authorities would, under law, be made available to all types of lawful political organisation — as they are not now.

Contrary to what our opponents of the media say, it is not we who aim at totalitarian dictatorship; it is the present system that is in reality totalitarian, though it may masquerade under the name of 'democracy'.

Q. You have been accused of being 'anti-semitic'. Is this true?

A. 'Anti-semitic' is another of those catchwords which, like 'Nazi', are used to obfuscate and mislead. Let's get things straight: the Arabs are Semites, and we have no quarrel with them. The people whom we are really talking about here are the Jews, so let's get the question right: are we 'anti-Jewish'?

The answer is that we are not opposed to Jews as individuals; we are opposed to certain concentrations of Jewish power, which in this country we believe to be excessive and to function often against the British national

interest. Where we see Jewish power-groups and pressure-groups operating against the British interest, we will oppose them. Of course, the truth is that anyone doing this will always be described as 'anti-semitic' by those power-groups and pressure-groups and the organs of the mass media which serve them — regardless of whether such opposition is directed against Jews as a whole, or not. So you really cannot win in this business. If you suggest that any Jewish organisation, institution or pressure group, or any individual Jew, is doing something that is contrary to the British national interest, you will be labelled as 'anti-semitic', and consigned to damnation.

We will continue to oppose any groups of people wielding influence and power who use those things against Britain — whether they be Jewish, Islamic or White Christian. And we will not be deterred from doing this by the labels that propagandists for these groups slap on us.

Q. You have called for compulsory repatriation of racial minority groups in Britain. If you were to modify this and advocate only VOLUNTARY repatriation, a great many people would agree with you. Wouldn't it be better just to call for voluntary repatriation, so that you would then not be attacked to the same degree by the media and would thus be able to broaden your support?

A. We are not like the other political parties: we are not in the business of lying to the public, stating we stand for one thing whereas in reality we stand for something different. If we believe compulsory repatriation to be necessary, we believe it right to say so and not to try to deceive anybody. And we do believe that policy to be necessary.

If only voluntary repatriation were adopted, a portion of those belonging to the ethnic minorities would volunteer to be repatriated but a very substantial portion would not. The latter portion would remain here as a foreign body in our country which could never properly be assimilated, and its presence would continue to create all the same problems as before, albeit perhaps for a while on a lesser scale. In time, if present birthrates are anything to go by, this portion would increase in number and would eventually become again as large as it is now, making the problems equally as large.

Compulsory repatriation therefore remains the only policy that is going to render Britain free of racial division, race conflict and racial mixture, which we believe bad for all races concerned.

As to the suggestion that the media would attack us less if we advocated voluntary repatriation, this is pure illusion. The media would attack us equally. Just look how they recently attacked Norman Tebbit, who made a statement critical of certain members of the minorities and of the Government's immigration policy, without ever advocating compulsory repatriation. Anyone who imagines that the media would treat us more kindly if we modified our policy of compulsory repatriation would have to be extremely naive.

Q. But your compulsory repatriation scheme would cause suffering and hardship to many people affected. Is this not inhumane?

A. Some suffering and hardship would inevitably be caused, though we would strive to reduce this to the lowest

practical minimum. In cases such as this, however, we have to choose between two disagreeable alternatives. If the race problem in Britain is allowed to remain and fester, there is going to be far more suffering and hardship caused to this and countless generations in the future than would be caused to some members of the present generation of ethnic minority members if they were to be forcibly repatriated. Our repatriation policy is therefore in reality much more humane than is the present policy of multi-racialism and all its unpleasant side-effects.

These things apart, a British Government — indeed any government of any nation — has a prior obligation to its own people. Would you seriously suggest that we risk the damage to the British people that is being caused by the presence of large concentrations of ethnic minorities in our towns and cities merely in order to spare a bit of hardship to some members of those groups by way of their being repatriated?

Q. You speak of 'repatriation', but supposing that some of the ethnic groups affected had nowhere to be repatriated to? Supposing that the countries of their origin refused to accept them? What then?

A. Britain holds a very powerful lever over virtually all 'Third World' countries at the present time by virtue of its overseas aid programme on which they depend. It should be possible to negotiate repatriation schemes with these countries by use of this lever. However, in those cases where it were not possible with the precise country of origin of the ethnic group in question, it should be possible with some other Third World country of similar type.



BRITAIN'S YOUNG FOLK
As they are being indoctrinated all the time by others, we must counter that indoctrination.

They want our money — let them earn it by doing something for us in return!

And at the end of the day let us remember this: when we are speaking of these Third World countries we are not speaking of countries that are powerful and able to get their own way. Ultimately, when enough white countries faced with this problem of ethnic minorities (which many are) decide that certain Third World countries are going to take their minorities, those countries will take them, and that will be that. The white nations still hold the power in this world, even if at the present time they do not realise it and do not use it.

Q. Some people have suggested that your party is responsible for racial

attacks on coloured people. What do you say to this?

A. This is just another of the inventions of the mass media and of our opponents on the left of politics who have a vested interest in promoting the multi-racial society. If a brick is thrown at the window of an Indian shopkeeper, the media immediately scream that it is a 'racial attack', though it may have been done for entirely different motives or indeed no particular motive at all. If, on the other hand, an elderly white woman is beaten up by black muggers that is not a 'racial attack'. See the difference!

The truth is that in Britain today there are far more attacks on white people by non-Whites than the other way round. Media propaganda, however, chooses to focus on the attacks by Whites on Coloureds (real or imagined) and ignore those by Coloureds on Whites. It's all part of the technique of making the indigenous people of this country feel guilty.

Searchlight magazine, one of the most vehement opponents of the BNP, makes claims every month that whenever and wherever our party steps up activities in an area there is an increase in 'racial attacks' in that area. What they fail to do is provide a shred of real evidence of this, let alone any evidence that such attacks are connected with us.

The truth of the matter is that if any member of our party engages in an attack on anyone, other than in self-defence after the other party has struck the first blow, they are liable to be disciplined and possibly expelled. That is our rule. Of course, if a BNP member is walking down the street and is set upon by a gang of Blacks or Asians who spot his party badge, should he dare to hit one of the gang in order to ward them off this will no doubt go down in some people's record as a 'racial attack'!

Q. The BNP make it a policy to hand out leaflets and other party literature to young people in schools. Do you not think it is wrong to try to indoctrinate people at such an early age?

A. In an ideal world there would be no indoctrination of young people with political ideas. However, that is not the real world we are living in today. Our young folk are subject nowadays to constant indoctrination — by teachers in the classroom, on television, in the magazines that they read and even by means of 'pop' music, which has been acknowledged by some of its own exponents as a weapon of propaganda.

In this situation, we are forced to respond by adopting measures to counter this indoctrination by providing

young people with an alternative point of view, which they may examine and reject if they wish. For instance, if young people are under the control of a school-teacher who is always telling them that it is a bad thing to love their own race and stand up for it, is it not reasonable for those who oppose this practice to offer those same young people an argument as to why they should love their own race and stand up for it?

Young people are, anyway, always being indoctrinated with something. From the very moment that a little girl fondles a blonde, blue-eyed doll delivered to her by Santa Claus she is subject to a degree of 'indoctrination' in as much as she is being encouraged to

Contd. at bottom of page 4

Just who do these people think they are?

IMMIGRANT AGITATORS CARRYING ON AS IF THEY OWN BRITAIN

JUST RECENTLY, Bradford's number-one Moslem militant, Kalim Siddiqui, has been vocal in his opinions about the British people, who for some time have been extending their hospitality to him and his fellow immigrants by courtesy of successive British Governments.

According to Mr. Siddiqui, a "lava of hatred flows towards Moslems from every Briton — seemingly without exception! This, he proclaimed, "pours from the mouths of cabinet ministers, Judges, policemen — indeed from every nook and cranny" of what he, for some odd reason, referred to as "this great country of ours."

Siddiqui was addressing a Moslem conference in London in mid-July, whose unanimous support he had just secured for a renewed death call against renegade Moslem Salman Rushdie and his British publishers and distributors. Moslems in Britain, Siddiqui said, must strengthen their ties with Iran's fanatically anti-western mullahs.

This seemed to echo the tone of another speaker at yet another conference of Asians, who had been given the run of the University of London's Logan Hall only two weeks before. After much palaver among delegates about alleged 'racial discrimination', 'harassment' and 'racial attacks' on Asian immigrants in Britain, Councillor Singh of Bexley chose to accuse native British folk of practising what he described as 'racial terrorism' against himself and his kindred.

Both conferences were concerned with presenting new demands of one kind or another against Britain. Accusing British teachers of 'discriminating' against Moslem children, and implying that Britain was trying to turn Moslem women into what he called "sex slaves of the West," Siddiqui demanded that those he styled 'tormentors' of Moslems in Britain should "get off our back." This followed another demand, which was for a special 'parliament' for Moslems on British soil, whose authority, presumably, would supersede that of our own parliament as far as Moslems were concerned!

For their part, Councillor Singh and his 500-odd colleagues expected the Government to respond to their shopping list of demands, drawn up

in a document entitled *Charter for Asians*, as what they called "a matter of urgency." Firstly, they wanted "the particular needs of Asian families" to be given special consideration by local authorities in the provision of housing. Freely bandying around accusations of 'racial harassment', they next demanded that special efforts be

made to protect Asians. Finally, they went so far as to say that 'racial attacks' on Asians "must be made a top government and police priority" across Britain.

All this comes at a time when many ordinary Britons have no proper roofs over their own and their children's heads, and when they are on the receiving end of a

tidal wave of violent crime, including racial attacks on elderly white people by young Asians and West Indians.

To cap the matter, the Asian 'Charter' wants "government spokespeople (*Sic!*) and public leaders" to go out of their way to acknowledge what it calls "the great contribution Asians, Jews and Afro-Caribbeans have made to British society."

SO WHY DO THEY STILL COME?

What is less clear is why, in this case, these conference-speakers and the delegates who applauded them should have been so anxious to bring their own relatives to this country. One of them even took British immigration officers to task for exercising their powers in a way that excluded such people, thereby "keeping families apart." If the level of persecution against Asians in our country is as bad as Councillor Singh and the delegates to his conference claimed, then surely the last thing he and his kind would want would be to bring their families here — indeed to come here themselves in the first place. Would it not, on the contrary, be far better for them to reunite themselves with their loved ones by themselves going back to the Indian

sub-continent to rejoin them?

One thing is for sure. If British people who emigrated to Australia or the United States had ever adopted the tone of voice Siddiqui and Singh have done against their hosts in their new lands they would undoubtedly have been given short shrift. And it can only be left to the imagination what might have happened to any British immigrant abroad who committed incitement to murder, whether on religious or any other grounds.

One wonders of these people who have come to our land and enjoyed our hospitality and higher living standards, as well as education and social services: **just who the hell do they think they are?** Do they in fact regard their hosts, the British people, as such lowly wretches that they feel that they can insult us and our institutions, trample on our laws and violate our customs with such impunity as they do? It would certainly appear so.

This paper's advice to the likes of Siddiqui and Singh, and all the rest of their camp-followers is that if they are so damned dissatisfied with the way things are in our country they have a simple solution: **they can get the hell out of here and leave us in peace!**



THE WRITING ON THE WALL

This is Leicester, 1990. Thousands of Asians are seen here assembling to greet an Indian film star. Scenes such as these indicate that if the British people do not wake up soon they will have lost their country.

A MATTER OF PRIORITIES!

While London crime rockets, Yard chief has time to go hounding people whose only 'offence' is to exercise free speech

AT A TIME when street crime in the London Metropolitan area is going through the roof, and when the chief victims of this crime are the indigenous white majority, Chief Commissioner for the Met Sir Peter Imbert took some hours off recently to speak to the *Jewish Chronicle* to assure its readers that he was going to give high priority to investigating the publication and distribution of *Holocaust News*, a paper which disputes the claim that millions of Jews were murdered in Germany under Hitler.

Sir Peter announced to the *JC* that he was ready to conduct an enquiry into the paper to see whether there would be grounds for prosecution of those connected with it.

Holocaust News presents a particular view of the history of the period

surrounding World War II. Many people, including some of our own readers, may disagree with this view and may feel that nazi genocide is an established fact. This, however, is hardly the point. The point is that in what is supposed to be a 'free' country the publishers of *Holocaust News* have a right to their own opinion, and it is abominable to try to deprive them of that right.

Jewish spokesmen, however, seem to disagree. For some time they have been urging the banning of the paper and the bringing into the dock of those who have published and are selling it. The grounds? Stirring up 'racial hatred' against Jews.

This is rather curious a charge, since in fact *Holocaust News*, if anything, seeks to break down barriers of hatred

— to be precise, hatred against Germans, which has been nurtured ever since the war by the belief that great numbers of them collaborated in a programme of mass extermination. Few would dispute that today the Germans and the British ought to be friends. If *Holocaust News* helps this process by exposing as untrue some of the war stories against the Germans, then that should be welcome.

Three years ago the Director of Public Prosecutions, when approached about *Holocaust News*, said that there were no legal grounds for a prosecution against its authors. This makes Imbert's special intervention at this late stage all that more remarkable. Imbert of course is well-known for his pro-ethnic minority bias. On becoming head of the Met he said that alleged 'racial harassment' of



IMBERT
Seems to put minorities first

ethnic minority members by Britons (but not the other way round) would be his top priority in policing London. Now, it seems, he is making further efforts to ingratiate himself with minority groups by this absurdly heavy-handed attitude to the producers of *Holocaust News* when other, much more important, matters ought to be commanding his attention.

It is about time they sacked Imbert and chose a real policeman for London!

The British National Party

THE TRUE FACTS

equate that doll with things pleasant and beautiful. When youngsters are taken to church by their parents or by their schoolteachers, they are being 'indoctrinated' with Christianity. Once you start to tell children anything about what is right and what is wrong you are 'indoctrinating' them. We simply cannot get away from it. Youngsters are always going to be 'indoctrinated' in some way or other. The only question to be decided is: **which way?**

Q. Whenever one hears about the BNP in the papers or on the broadcast news it seems as if its name is linked with violence. Why is this?

A. First and foremost, it is because the mass media, as stated before, are opposed to us. Why is this? It is because they are owned by interests who are opposed to us. As mentioned earlier, they will fabricate anything that comes to hand in order to depict us in an unpleasant light in the eyes of the public.

But most certainly it is true that

violence does attend a number of our activities. Wherever we announce we are going to hold a meeting, our opponents on the extreme left organise to send a mob to smash the meeting up. This does not happen both ways: we do not attempt to smash up left-wing meetings; it is only they who attempt to smash up ours.

When your opponents come to a meeting of yours to smash it up, you have only two alternatives: either you capitulate by cancelling the meeting and forfeiting your right of free speech; or you stand and fight them and throw them out. When faced with this situation it is always our policy to stand and fight for our right of expression.

Of course, it often happens that things do not reach this stage. There is usually a large police presence at our meetings, and violence breaks out when our opponents try to attack the meeting and the police refuse to allow them to do so, so that the violence is between them and the police. However, when the police are not present to preserve order

we make it a policy to preserve it ourselves. Then of course there is violence — as there is bound to be when one group of people want to make themselves heard and an opposing group of people try to use force to stop them.

Q. To a lot of people, there is little difference between your party and other nationalist organisations, like the National Front. Surely you would be much stronger if you all were to unite forces. So why don't you?

A. In the first half of the 1980s we made numerous approaches to the National Front and other like groups with a view to unification or at least to some co-operative working relationship. On every such occasion our approaches were spurned by the Front's leaders.

In 1987 some representatives of the National Front made an approach to us. Their proposal was that we form a working alliance between our two organisations with a view to bringing them closer together, and possibly in

time to full unification. Despite the previous snubs we had experienced from their camp we agreed to this, and in this very paper (July 1987 issue) we announced its formation and encouraged BNP members to support it. Then a short time afterwards other elements in the National Front, who had opposed the alliance, prevailed over the pro-alliance faction in their party and ordered that it be cancelled.

As far as we are concerned, the door to a discussion of unification has always been open. It is always the National Front who have closed it.

The Front would counter this assertion by saying that we have prevented unification by the insistence that the BNP leadership must preside over any unified body. That is true, and for very good reasons. The record of those running the Front as leaders over the past ten years has been one of disastrous failure. They have ruined the powerful and vigorous party that at one time the NF was. If we allowed them to prevail in any organisation that may

emerge through a union between the NF and the BNP they would most certainly ruin that too. We do not have confidence in these people as leaders, though we do recognise that some of them have talents that could be put to good use in the service of the cause if they were to modify their ambition and recognise their limitations.

This aside, the dispute over leadership need not have led to a termination of the working alliance that was instituted in 1987, as under that alliance the two organisations could have continued working independently under their separate leaders, while working in collaboration instead of in rivalry.

But the Front leaders did not even want this limited association, and so we have abandoned any idea of an agreement with them to work together. Our call today to people who want unity is: let's achieve it by your joining the BNP. As stated on page 2, we are now anyway the stronger organisation. Over history unions have always been made by the stronger absorbing the weaker!

(Contd. from previous page)

FLASHPOINT BURNLEY

Once again,
major race
riot hushed
up by national
news media

LARGE-SCALE racial disturbances are regularly breaking out all over Britain, but there is little or no reporting of these in the national news media, because the powers controlling this country do not want the public to know the appalling truth about the failure of their multi-racial experiment. This has been the theme of numerous exposures made in *British Nationalist* over the past few years.

The latest of these disturbances occurred in Burnley (Lancs.) last month. Information about the riot came to us from a report on the front page of *The Pendle Citizen*, a local paper which, to its credit, did publish the facts. The riot, however, was given almost no coverage at all in the national newspapers nor was it mentioned on the peak-hour TV national news bulletins.

The trouble occurred in the Fulledge area of Burnley on the last night of the town's annual fair on the local recreation ground. It involved white and Asian youths. According to one witness, "There were droves of Pakistani lads coming up from Brierfield and Nelson in cars and vans. The white lads seemed to be waiting for them and fights were breaking out throughout the area...The police certainly had a rough time. If this gets any worse someone will get killed."

According to the *Citizen* report, other Fulledge residents confirmed that gangs had been congregating all day. They spoke of how parents were too frightened to take their children

off the fairground and into the adjoining streets, which had become battlegrounds.

Characteristically, the police denied in a public statement that the trouble was racially motivated but described it as a "large-scale public order situation." This is of course pure political waffle and should have fooled no-one. When a 'large-scale' breakdown in public order occurs with Whites on one side and Asians on the other, commonsense tells anyone that it is racial. Heads of police, however, are bound by the rules laid down from way up above to play down the racial aspect of any public order breakdown — in the interests, of course, of 'racial harmony'.

Exactly which faction was to blame for the start of the trouble is not known, and we do not automatically take the view that it was necessarily the Asians. What matters is that this disturbance in Burnley provides just one more of many examples of the failure of the multi-racial society and of the truth that when Whites and non-Whites are made to live in close proximity to each other and share common leisure facilities there are regularly going to be outbreaks of trouble.

So it isn't a question of Whites being in the wrong or of Asians being in the wrong; it's a matter of the politicians being in the wrong for creating the situation in the first place — then of the mass media being in the wrong for trying, continually, to hush up the consequences.

REPORT THE MASS MEDIA HUSHED UP

Front-page headline from the Burnley local paper dealing with the riot

KNOW YOUR ENEMY!

A look at the Socialist Workers' Party, by IAN CHRISTIE

THE SOCIALIST WORKERS' PARTY is one of the leading subversive organisations in the United Kingdom. Indeed, so thoroughly does it do the work of this country's enemies that there has been speculation as to just where it gets its funds from.

When at college some time ago, I knew a classmate who was, and still is, the leader of the SWP in Dundee, where I live. I once asked him how on earth his tiny party could afford the big expenses it seemed regularly to incur. Not knowing of my nationalist sympathies at the time, and being aware of my distant past, when I had been a member of the Communist Party, he replied: "When it comes to cash the KGB has a bottomless purse — as long as we produce the goods."

Which of course the SWP has certainly been doing, so far as Soviet interests are concerned. I would have thought no more of this but for an article I later saw in the December 1981 issue of *Choice*, in which the writer, Dr. Kitty Little, said: "There has been increasing evidence that the KGB has been joined by Israeli Intelligence in organising subversion in the United Kingdom, and for this they set up the SWP and the Anti-Nazi League."

Neither of these assertions — one by an SWP member and the other by one of the party's opponents — is proof of KGB bankrolling, but it can at least be said that if the sinister Soviet secret police was providing funds for the SWP it would certainly be getting good service for its money.

From its outset, the SWP in Britain has had close links with several terrorist organisations, including the IRA, with its dedication to the murder of innocent British men, women and children.

The SWP masquerades as a political party, but does not normally stand candidates for parliament or local government.

Its numbers are few, but the people in it make up for this in the depth of their commitment and in the shrill and impassioned denunciation of Britain and every cause conducive to Britain's good. They eagerly grasp every opportunity to foment trouble and chaos. It is no secret that they have been

among the prime movers in race riots up and down the country, exhorting Blacks and other immigrants to violence against the police and against peaceful white communities.

The SWP is also active in demonstrations on such issues as student loans and the poll tax — witness the forest of SWP posters bearing slogans such as 'Stuff the poll tax!', mass-produced at their paymasters' expense and distributed to a gullible public. Unfortunately, many misguided 'citizens' are then whipped up into a frenzy by professional rabble-rousers, and then crazed even further by alcohol and drugs, plied by pushers among the comrades of the SWP.

The SWP often engages in unfruitful secondary picketing, as in the miners' strike. It is questionable whether any of its members have ever seen a coal mine, let alone worked in one. In fact, not many 'Socialist Workers' do actually work! Many of them pose as students, insinuating themselves into positions of power within the National Union of Students. Others quite unashamedly live on handouts from the very state they despise and are sworn to overthrow! A tiny but significant number have infiltrated our education system, aiming to

control and manipulate the minds of our children.

With the aid of the mysterious funds of which I spoke earlier, the SWP helps other left-wing factions to set up various red 'fronts', including all types of 'rights' movements — Gay Lib, Women's Lib, Asian Workers and many others, as well as 'anti-fascist' committees and 'advice' centres, where state spongers are encouraged to find loopholes in the law, so that the social security services can be induced to hand out ever greater sums of our money to the country's layabouts.

The main objective of the Socialist Workers' Party is to undermine British society, promote a breakdown in law and order and create conditions for red revolution. Some may feel that the latter could never actually happen, but no-one could dispute that the first two aims mentioned have gone a long way towards succeeding.

We nationalists must oppose the Socialist Workers' Party with all our power. We have a duty to defend our country against these treasonable scum — for scum they are! Wherever they turn up to bully and intimidate British people and threaten our freedoms we must be there to stand up to them and see them on their way!



THE UGLY FACE OF THE RED MOB
Wherever rowdy and violent demonstrations are organised against the BNP and other nationalists, 'Socialist Worker' elements are invariably present

Sir, — What the heck has happened to *Coronation Street*? The Rover's Return was nearly half-coloured in its clientele when I saw it one day last month!

Not satisfied with that, the multi-racialist fanatics who run our broadcasting media are promoting yet another trans-racial affair on this once-healthy, all-white northern show. A young white boy is shown eloping with a Pakistani girl.

Not surprisingly, his brother is furious and the two have a fight. Isn't this what race-mixing does: divide British families?

Come on Manchester BNP, complain to Granada TV!

ROBERT BEST
Enfield, Middlesex

Sir, — Have you noticed something: practically all Mrs. Thatcher's cabinet ministers and departmental secretaries, particularly the younger ones, are of a type that can only properly be described as 'wimpish'? Everything about them — their faces, voices, bearing and general personalities exude weakness, softness and subservience. If these

YOUR LETTERS

are the people who are now running our vital arteries of government, no wonder Britain is in such an appalling mess!

It was once said of Mrs. Thatcher that she was the best man the British Government had. One can understand this assessment. Looking at her colleagues, one wonders if there's a single male hormone between them!

G.R. WICKS
Stourport, Worcs.

HONG KONG CHINESE

Yes, they're coming!

PEKING RULING SCUPPERS GOVERNMENT'S 'GUARANTEE' SCHEME

WHEN the Thatcher Government first proposed its scheme to give right of settlement in Britain to 225,000 Hong Kong Chinese, it tried to mollify an alarmed British public by saying that that right, in the case of the majority, would exist on paper only. Its purpose, it was claimed, was to stop the Hong Kong Chinese rushing to settle in this country before the Red Chinese takeover in 1997 — by giving them the guarantee that they would be able to come here after 1997 if they found life under communism uncongenial.

The implication of all this was that, as long as the Hong Kongers had that guarantee of right of settlement in Britain at any time, most of them would remain in Hong Kong and probably settle down to life as part of Red China.

Now a ruling made by the Red Chinese Government in Peking has driven a coach and horses through this scheme, for it announced on the 28th July that it would not accept the validity of the 225,000 British passports which our Government will be issuing between now and 1997. This means that the passports will not be useable as travel documents by those wishing to depart for Britain after the Chinese

takeover.

As a result, predictably, the rush of the Hong Kongers to come here before 1997 is accelerating into a flood. The probability is that all, or nearly all, of those granted the passports will use them to get out of the colony as soon as possible. Contrary to all the Thatcher Government's assurances, Britain will have to accommodate the best part of the near quarter-million Chinese with right of settlement!

The Peking Government's ruling is, from the Chinese point of view, a perfectly right and reasonable one. The Red Chinese, not given to liberal self-delusion as our own rulers are, correctly recognise that the Hong Kongers, since they are Chinese in race, should be Chinese in nationality also. That they cannot properly be if they possess British passports and the right to hop to it Britain the moment it suits them. The Red Chinese do not want a population inhabiting a part of China which has dual loyalties; the Hong Kongers must be wholly Chinese or they should get out.

The fault for this mess lies four-square with our own Government for not recognising

this simple truth, and pretending that the Hong Kongers could be British and Chinese at the same time.

Only two conclusions can come out of this fiasco that the Thatcher Government has brought upon itself.

If the Government drew up the scheme for giving 225,000 Hong Kongers right of settlement in Britain in the belief that the Red Chinese Government would accept it, it is guilty of a combination of the grossest naivety and incompetence.

If, on the other hand, it knew that the scheme would not be acceptable to the Red Chinese but pretended otherwise for the purpose of getting its Hong Kong bill through parliament, it is guilty of blatant dishonesty and treason. It will have knowingly and deliberately concealed the true facts both from parliament and public.

Our own guess is that the latter conclusion is the correct one. It is very difficult to believe that the Government did not realise the almost certain consequences of its decision to issue the British passports and did not know of the true attitude of the Peking Government on the matter. What may well have happened



HORDES MAKING FOR BRITAIN
Crowds queuing at the Immigration Office in Hong Kong last month seeking British naturalisation

is that there was a secret deal between London and Peking that Britain would take all the 225,000 Hong Kongers but that this agreement would not be made public until a much later date. It looks as if Peking upset the applecart by making its true position known much earlier than Thatcher & Co. had anticipated. Now we can be in no further

doubt of the position. Hundreds of thousands of Hong Kong Chinese are coming to Britain. And this with the consent and complicity of the Premier who in 1979 proclaimed to the electorate that there would be "no further large-scale immigration" into Britain.

Well, if you believed this and voted for her don't blame us!

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP

Telephone: 081 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.



NEW STICKERS



Oblong self-adhesive sticker with slogan: 'Stop immigration! Start repatriation!' in red on white background. For 80 stickers: £1 plus 18p p&p. For 500 stickers: £6 plus 50p p&p. For 1,000 stickers: £12 plus 95p p&p.



Circular self-adhesive sticker in red, white and blue with BNP logo and name and address. Prices same as with immigration sticker.

VIDEO & SOUND RECORDINGS

RALLY '89



Video-recording of the
British National Party rally in
London, October 14th 1989

Hear:-

MARK WILSON (Chairman)
JOHN PEACOCK
TONY MORGAN
GUS McLEOD
DAVID BRUCE
HARRY MULLIN
RICHARD EDMONDS
JOHN TYNDALL

BNP Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

RALLY '89: Video-recording of the highly successful BNP rally in London on October 14th last year. £16 plus 91p p&p.


RALLY '82: Sound recording of the BNP rally in London on October 16th 1982. Speeches by John Tyndall and Charles Parker.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II: Sound recording of two studio talks by John Tyndall: (1) 'The case for nationalism'; (2) 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (about World War II).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III: Sound recordings of two studio talks by John Tyndall: (1) Why we must repatriate; (2) Foundations of the national community.

Sound recordings £3.50 plus 28p p&p

BNP Recordings RALLY '82



SIDE 1
Speeches by Charles Parker
and Ray Hill
SIDE 2
Speech by John Tyndall

BNP Recordings TYNDALL SPEAKS III



SIDE 1
Why we must repatriate
SIDE 2
Foundations of the
National Community

BNP Recordings TYNDALL SPEAKS II




SIDE 1
The case for Nationalism
SIDE 2
Tragedy of the 20th Century

BOOKS

THE ELEVENTH HOUR

A CALL FOR BRITISH REBIRTH




JOHN TYNDALL

THE ELEVENTH HOUR (by John Tyndall). Written when the BNP leader was in prison on the charge of publishing material likely to stir up 'race hatred', this book is the one all nationalists have been waiting for. The book is in two parts. The first part describes the author's early years and introduction to, and progress through, the nationalist movement. Following this is the most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 609 pages. Paperback £10 plus £1.95 p&p. Hardback £15 plus £2.40 p&p.

THE NAMELESS WAR

THE NAMELESS WAR (by Capt. A.H.M. Ramsey). A British member of parliament describes the secret history leading up to the Second World War. Despite being an MP, Capt. Ramsey was jailed without trial for four years for opposing Churchill's war policy. 128 pages. £3.25 plus 42p p&p


B.N.P. STICKERS



OUTLAW
HOMOSEXUALITY!



Protect our women and old folk
STAMP OUT MUGGERS!



Fight subversion
SMASH COMMUNISM!



LOVE THE WHITE RACE
Protect its future!




Save our cities from this (riot)...
START REPATRIATION!



As more unemployment rolls
off the boats, we say...
BAN IMPORTS!




Protect our young from
child murderers...
BRING BACK THE ROPE!




Stickers measure
3 1/2 in x 2 1/2 in. Self-
adhesive at £1.50
per 100; gummed
back at 40p per
100. Postage
costs 26p per 100.




Scrap the Anglo-Irish
Agreement
KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS
CHILD MURDER
Make it illegal!




Free speech is under attack -
DEFEND IT!
Mentions prosecutions and bans
against nationalists




Support Ulster
Smash terrorism
HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!



Oppose the disarmers
Build up our forces
MAKE BRITAIN STRONG



CND are Moscow's puppets
Don't be fooled by them
KEEP BRITAIN'S BOMB!



She freezes in Winter while
Third World gets £1,000 million
a year
PUT BRITISH PEOPLE
BEFORE ALIENS!

T-SHIRTS & POSTERS



FIGHTING for race + nation

Get this exciting new black-on-white design T-shirt or A2-size poster. T-shirts only £5 plus 28p p&p. Posters £1.50 inc. p&p. Send cheques or POs to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. If ordering T-shirt, remember to state shirt size.

ON THE WINNING TRAIL IN EAST LONDON

(Continued from front page)

delaying tactics was that their new candidate had booked her holidays! Due to our action, this now newly elected councillor missed being present for her 'victory'.

Park Ward is an area of Tower

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON

BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON

PO Box 300, Emmas Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON

PO Box 275, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB10 8XU

SOUTH WEST LONDON

BCM Box 5103, London WC1N 3XX

SOUTH EAST LONDON

PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

BARKING & DAGENHAM

PO Box 12, Dagenham, Essex RM10 7HE

EPPING FOREST

PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 2DN

WEST KENT

PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT

73 Shirk oak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

SUFFOLK

2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK

9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

MILTON KEYNES

PO Box 811, Bradwell Common, Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

DEVON

c/o GWR, Sheldon Lane, Dunsford, Exeter EX6 7AD

SOUTH WALES

PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

NORTH WALES

PO Box 3, Llangefni, Anglesey L77 7UY

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH

PO Box 211, Peterborough, Cambs. PE3 8JE

NOTTINGHAM

PO Box 2, Carlton, Nottingham NG4 3EQ

WEST NOTTS.

PO Box 5, Eastwood, Notts. NG16 3RN

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD

PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD

PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD

PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

SHEFFIELD

PO Box 44, Sheffield S1 1ES

DARLINGTON

PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

EDINBURGH

PO Box 719, NWDO, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

GLASGOW

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

PAISLEY

PO Box 72, Paisley, Renf. PA2 0BH

DUNDEE

PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Hounslow, Newmarket, Colchester, Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Stourbridge, North Notts., Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackpool, Lancaster, Halifax, Dewsbury, Tees-side, Sunderland, Stirling & Alloa, Cumbernauld, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to contact any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Hamlets which has seen no real nationalist activity for at least nine years. It has been regarded as a backwater and a Liberal stronghold. It was with some trepidation, therefore, that we decided to fight it, as we did not wish to follow our good results in the May borough elections with a poor result there. To people who do not know the East End this may come as a surprise. Many people regard all of East London as especially fertile ground for nationalism. But until now Bow has never produced good election results.

TOWN HALL PROTEST

Early on in the campaign we heard through the grapevine that the council was planning to cut off funding to an all-white nursery, while still giving money away to various Bangladeshi, Somali and Chinese youth groups. We knew that the white mothers were up in arms about this and were planning to picket the next meeting of the Education Department, which was responsible for the allocation of such funds. At short notice, we produced a special leaflet and also turned up at the Town Hall with a twenty-strong team carrying placards and flags.

The mothers welcomed us and began chanting our 'Rights for Whites' slogan. Soon after, however, the nursery teachers arrived. They were obvious leftists, and they browbeat the mothers into moving away from our demonstration. Once everyone was inside the Town Hall, the mothers allowed a Liberal councillor to insult them by telling them to remove their children from the public gallery. When the council members announced the allocation of funds to various Bangladeshi groups the meeting was interrupted by a chorus of disapproval from the BNP contingent and the Council Chamber was flooded with our leaflets. As we left the Town Hall, many of the mothers were waiting outside with their children. Out of the clutches of the leftist teachers, they gave us the thumbs-up sign!

REDS ROUTED

A few days later we held a highly successful public election rally. This was on Monday, the 9th July, in a local school. Despite it being an evening event, some 130 people, many of them local electors packed out the meeting hall. On the way to the school a disciplined body of nationalists had to pass by a red counter-demonstration. With the rally taking place in the evening we did not expect a mass turnout by the left, but what was on display here was truly pathetic. The counter-demonstration consisted of a ragbag assortment of about 60, made up of Militant Tendency, Socialist Workers' Party and all the local Labour Party activists. The mob was led by Phil Maxwell, leader of Tower Hamlets Labour Group and known even to Labour Party Headquarters as a "silly little berk." After screaming abuse behind a safe line of policemen the reds sloped off with their tails between their legs. A short time afterwards BNP organisers went outside the meeting hall to invite the reds into the meeting, but all they could see was Maxwell dejectedly leading his rabble away in defeat. And they missed a cracking rally!

Richard Edmonds opened the meeting and introduced the local speakers: Ken Walsh, who lives in the ward and was a candidate in Spitalfields Ward in May, Park Ward candidate Steve Smith and myself. Steve Smith's speech was his maiden one but it was delivered with all the confidence and fire of a platform veteran, and Steve earned a rapturous applause from the audience.

Dave Bruce followed and then called for the collection, which raised over £200 and paid for the entire campaign. John Tyndall delivered the closing address.

The attitude of the police to the rally was quite illuminating. They clearly had been expecting trouble, and when none materialised, instead of being relieved, some of them showed annoyance by adopting a petty attitude towards us. Because the main body of BNP supporters went to the school *en masse* from a previously arranged rendezvous

point, the reds could not employ their favourite trick of attacking

people in ones and twos, as happened at the previous rally in the East End in the Spring. Before the rally the police had tried to get us to approach the school in these same small groups, but we correctly decided to attend to our own security arrangements.

PRESS BOYCOTT

After the incident at the Town Hall and the subsequent public rally we awaited the local press with interest. Prior to the rally the *East London Advertiser* had announced that the council regretted having to let us use one of its halls. The paper also reported that the council had approached the Home Office to get our candidature stopped, as we chose to describe ourselves as 'Rights for Whites British National Party' on the ballot papers. We had decided to use the slogan 'Rights for Whites' as we had made it our 'catchphrase' throughout the East End. The council's attempt to get our nomination papers declared invalid for using this description failed utterly, however. Nevertheless, in sharp contrast to the banner headlines the BNP had received in all three local papers in February, March and April, this time we got the silent treatment. When you receive a media boycott you know you must be doing something right! Only one paper mentioned the Town Hall incident, while the rally got three lines in another!

HEAVY GOING

After a slow build-up, our campaign in Park Ward gathered momentum. Initially, the electorate seemed worryingly apathetic and complacent. Park Ward has probably the smallest immigrant population of any ward in Tower Hamlets: around 10 per cent. Therefore, amazingly as it might seem, many people did not feel threatened. The ward also has a good social infrastructure. Although it consists overwhelmingly of council estates, nearly every property has double-glazing and central heating. Most flats have security entryphones and new lifts. The area is adorned with gentrified lampposts and litter bins, and it borders the pleasant tree-lined open spaces of Victoria Park. Some of the tower blocks have concierges: 24-hour porters, always black, who are supposed to look after the blocks. There is a high proportion of old people's homes in the ward, and the Labour Party has the votes in such places virtually sewn up. The vulnerable and often confused old folk are conned and manipulated by the leftist 'social services' staff into voting Labour.

We experienced more difficulties when we tried to canvass many of the other blocks, as it was necessary to pass through a whole series of security doors in order to meet the electors. This made it impossible for us to canvass a high proportion of the voters. Also the televising of the World Cup did not help us in attracting the attention of the great British public!

The Liberals had been entrenched in the ward for 12 years, and Labour had a solid body of support in the immigrant population, the old people's homes and theuppies who inhabited a small corner of the ward. At the same time, as said earlier, the nationalist movement had neglected Park Ward for at least nine years. As a result of all these factors we had to fight very hard for every vote, and it makes the final achievement that much sweeter.

The BNP's campaign received a valuable boost when we delivered a first-rate election address, which focused largely on local race issues, to all households. It was generally felt that, in both content and appearance, this was the most professional piece of election literature that had been produced for many years; and it certainly made the other parties' efforts look second-rate.

REDS WORRIED

The success of our rally, and the growing levels of support we were gaining as each day passed, clearly worried the reds. One evening shortly before polling day, a gang of some 20 Class War/Red Action squatters invaded a council estate on the edge of the ward.

THE 'OPPO' We are not sure whether the specimen in the left foreground is male or female. The picket-board was later 'requisitioned' by BNP supporters



THE RALLY Eddy Butler speaks



Photos by Richard Fawcus

This motley collection armed themselves with lumps of wood, bottles and bricks and proceeded to put up 10 crude stickers attacking the BNP. After this daring exploit the gang vandalised a parked motor vehicle and then fled, almost as quickly as they had appeared, throwing their remaining stickers into a canal! Their swift retreat back to their Hackney squats was observed by local sympathisers, who immediately informed local BNP members of the intrusion. Needless to say, the pathetic stickers did not stay up for long. This incident shows the fear the left has for the East End. The leftists fear attack from outraged residents at any moment, and well they should! They only feel safe putting up stickers if they do it mob-handed and are well 'tooled up'.

Over the last few days of the campaign we delivered another special back-up leaflet to our known supporters and sympathisers. When polling day arrived we knew we had fought a tremendous campaign with an excellent candidate in what was a difficult area for us. As if to confirm this for us, a couple of SWP cretins positioned themselves at a polling station and handed out a pitiful anti-BNP smear leaflet to people as they came to vote. The leaflet was so bad we didn't even bother to send these idiots on their way, as we easily could have done. The leaflet, among other things, called upon people to vote Labour, and so should appear on the return of expenses by the Labour candidate. Needless to say, this matter has been reported to the returning officer and we shall be scrutinising the Labour Party's expense forms!

THE STRUGGLE CONTINUES

Our result undoubtedly shocked the other parties. We easily thrashed both the Tories and the Greens. We received more white working-class votes than

Labour, and the BNP is now firmly entrenched as the third party in the East End. Our intervention also resulted in the Liberal candidate's majority being severely dented.

We gained an excellent result in an area that is not traditionally known for its strong support for nationalism. All that has now changed. We have met many new friends and supporters and we will build on this for the future. This result shows the potential of the BNP to attract good support in many so-far untapped areas of Britain. It is the duty of all units of the BNP to reach out to these potential reservoirs of support. It is time for other branches to test the electoral waters in these local government by-elections.

While we fought a strong campaign in Park Ward with the resources at our disposal, our success must encourage others to harder work in the future. All those who helped in this campaign must be applauded and thanked, but had more people put more effort into putting in greater numbers of hours on the streets our result could have been even better!

There is now an immediate opportunity for our activists to put themselves to the test in this regard. During the Park Ward by-election the Liberal leader of the council died. She represented St. Peter's Ward in Bethnal Green. This time there was no pretence at waiting a 'decent' time before calling the election, for Liberals immediately slapped in their notice. Polling day is the 30th August. The BNP is again entering the field, this time with Ken Walsh as its candidate. Again we will fight a full campaign. Every hand will be needed. Every member must pull his or her weight. Ken Walsh did well in Spitalfields Ward in May. The nationalist struggle continues in the 'racist' borough of Tower Hamlets!

BNP men to make sponsored parachute jump, August 18th

MILTON KEYNES branch of the British National Party have hit on a novel way of raising money for party funds. Two branch members, Dave Slaymaker and Colin Patterson, will be making sponsored parachute jumps on Saturday, the 18th August, at the Cranfield aerodrome, Bedford. Proceeds are to be split between Milton Keynes branch and the BNP New Building Fund.

Dave Slaymaker picked up his parachute jumping when serving in the Regular Army. He served a term in Northern Ireland with the Royal Green-jackets.

We hope that all BNP friends and supporters will send donations to sponsor Dave and Colin in their jumps. If any groups of people want sponsor forms they can obtain them by writing to Milton Keynes branch. Donations

PARA-CHUTIST Dave Slaymaker, seen here selling BN, will be one of the two to make the jumps



and/or requests for forms should be sent to Milton Keynes BNP, PO Box 811, Bradwell Common, Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ.

Have you paid your BNP subscriptions for this year yet? If not, please get them off as quickly as you can. The rate is £12 per annum, with 50 per-cent reductions for OAPs and students. Thank you!

WE WANT YOUR MONEY!

The forces arrayed against nationalism and the BNP are tremendous — almost overwhelming. At every turn, our small movement has to battle to achieve even the simplest of aims. To hire a hall for a meeting, to arrange an open-air rally or march, to do almost anything in fact, our party faces the combined opposition of the local councils, the reds, the mass media, the Government itself, and very often the police as well.

If the BNP owned and controlled halls up and down the country, had full-time officials nationwide and controlled sections of the press, even if only local to

begin with, things would not be so hard. But at present at least we do not have these advantages. The British National Party has no big financial backers, no rich support from big business or from the trade unions.

If the BNP is not to remain a comparatively small group, snapping at the heels of the nation's enemies — if it is going to grow to become a powerful force fighting for our race and nation, in local councils, through the press, over the airwaves and in parliament itself — then we are going to need money, and lots of it.

And that can only come from our

members and supporters, from you reading this article. If you are serious about supporting our cause, if you want to see the BNP grow to be a powerful force, then you have to reach deep into your pocket — as much as you can afford, and then a little bit more! £5, £10, £50, £100!

Our New Building Fund needs just a few hundreds of pounds more to reach its present target. But really to expand and promote all the projects we need to make the party into a mighty national force we need much, much more than that. If you want the BNP to succeed, give generously — and give now!

TONY WELLS

ANOTHER BY-ELECTION, TOWER HAMLETS, AUGUST 30th!

With the dust barely settled from the BNP by-election campaign in Park Ward of Tower Hamlets, in which Steve Smith won 8.4 per cent of the vote, the party will this month be fighting a further election, this time in St. Peter's Ward of the same borough, where the seat has been made vacant by the death of the

previously sitting Liberal council member.

The election will take place on Thursday, August 30th, and the candidate will be **Ken Walsh**. A public rally will be held in support of the candidate on Saturday, August 15th (details at top right of this page), and the party will need the help of all activists who can

get to Tower Hamlets in the weeks preceding. Those willing to help should contact Organiser **Eddy Butler** for information about activities. Write to PO Box 300, Emma Street, London E2 7BZ. Let's all work to make this election even more successful than the recent one in Park Ward!

Scottish BNP busy as ever

THE LAST MONTH has been a busy one for British National Party activists in Scotland. On Saturday, the 21st July, there was an excellent meeting in Glasgow at which the guest speakers were John Wood from Sheffield and Andy Lunt from Liverpool. The total attendance was about 65-70, this including a party of 12 from Edinburgh.

On the following day, July 22nd, just over 60 turned out for an Edinburgh branch meeting, addressed by Gus McLeod and Andy Lunt. About half of those present were from the Edinburgh area, the rest coming from Greenock, Paisley, Fife and Glasgow.

Scottish activists also managed to slip south of the border for a day's activity in Carlisle, where the BNP has no local presence. Eric Brand, Michael Whyte and Norman Murray got the message out to the locals, with masses of leaflets, stickers and copies of *BN* distributed.

And that's not all. Some of the ubiquitous Scottish BNP even turned up at the rally in London, held in support of the BNP candidate in the recent Tower Hamlets council by-election (reported on page 7). The lads were returning from a holiday trip to Jersey, and we very much appreciated their making the detour to get to the event.

Greenock is the next probable place

for the formation of a new BNP unit in Scotland, where our local representatives have reported considerable activity. In the meantime the recently formed BNP unit in Paisley has achieved some massive publicity in the *Paisley Daily Express*, with front-page head-

lines on July 12th, accompanied by a big spread inside. Of course, the report was viciously anti-BNP, as might be expected, speaking of our members as 'thugs', etc., but at least the presence of the party in the town was prominently highlighted. Well done, Paisley BNP!



SOUTH OF THE BORDER

Scottish BNP activists managed, in the middle of their intensive programme in Scotland, to fit in an incursion just south of the border recently to hold a paper sale and leaflet drive in Carlisle. Here Michael Whyte and Norman Murray are seen selling *British Nationalist* in the city centre. The photo was taken by Eric Brand.

NEW BUILDING FUND: £375.50 NEEDED

Last month's tally in contributions to the New Building Fund has been disappointing, a mere £22 being raised — possibly due to competition from other appeals. Let's do better this month. We still need £375.50 to reach our target.

Please send all contributions to the New Building Fund, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please give generously!

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £10.50. 84p per sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 70p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.30 post
50 copies £10.00 + £2.85 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.30 post
150 copies £25.00 + £3.80 post
200 copies £30.00 + £4.20 post
300 copies £42.00 + £5.50 post
400 copies £55.00 + £5.50 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

PAISLEY Daily Express

No. 36024 THURSDAY, JULY 12, 1990

Fascists predict political gang violence on Paisley streets

'EXTREME PARTY THUGS SET TO CLASH'

Piazza is confident it can

Pets may die, says SSPCA

FRONT-PAGE HEADLINES

This is a reprint from the front page of the *Paisley Daily Express*, the main Paisley newspaper. They just can't keep the BNP in Scotland out of the news these days.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.15 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

PUBLIC MEETING EAST LONDON

SATURDAY, AUGUST 25th, 2.30 p.m.

WEAVER'S FIELD SCHOOL
VALLANCE ROAD, LONDON E.1

Start: 2.30 p.m.

Held in support of Ken Walsh, BNP candidate in St. Peters Ward in by-election to Tower Hamlets Council, August 30th

Speakers will include:-

KEN WALSH
STEPHEN SMITH
JOHN TYNDALL

Organised by British National Party (East London Region)

PUBLIC MEETING WOLVERHAMPTON

Saturday, September 22nd, 2.30 p.m.

Speakers will include:-

JOHN TYNDALL
JOHN PEACOCK

Assemble: Town Hall, North Street, 1.30 to 1.55 p.m.

ORGANISED BY BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY (WEST MIDLANDS REGION)

PAROLE FOR INNER LONDON SIX

REGULAR READERS of *British Nationalist* will be pleased to learn that Nancy Bonny, Kay Dwelly, Louise Agar, Dionne East and Joe Bunting are all being released from prison early this month and will be safe at home with families and friends. The fact that all five have received parole at the earliest possible date indicates that their original sentences were seen to be the vicious miscarriages of justice that they were.

All emerge unbowed but each with a personal insight into the corrupt and treasonable establishment system.

The last of the Six, Mark Harvey, should be home at the earliest possible date in just two months.

From all in the BNP: Welcome back to the Six!

WANTED: Collector urgently requires national audio-cassette — plus VHS video-tapes 1935-90. Also historical VHS video-tapes of German armed forces 1935-45. Please address letters to PO Box 22, *British Nationalist*.

Vehicle Fund

We are pleased to be able to announce that there has been a good response to our appeal for donations to the Vehicle Fund, the setting up of which was reported in last issue.

Contributions for the month come to a total of £405, which means that we have already raised a third of the total.

The fund was necessitated by the need for party HQ to replace its old motor van. The replacement cost £1,200, which was borrowed for the purpose from two London branches. This loan has to be repaid, and to this purpose BNP Chairman John Tyndall started the fund by putting in £12 and asking 99 others to do the same. Some have sent donations of £12, others a little less and some others substantially more. We are grateful to all these donors.

At the time we go to press, we need £795 to meet the target. Please mark all donations 'Vehicle Fund' and send to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally-divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry
- The regeneration of British farming with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production
- A healthy environment for a healthy people — the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality
- A end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(Tick appropriate box):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....